UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/501,215	04/08/2005	Kenji Kamada	KAMADA1	3815
	7590 08/21/200 D NEIMARK, P.L.L.C	EXAMINER		
624 NINTH ST		PESELEV, ELLI		
SUITE 300 WASHINGTOI	N, DC 20001-5303	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/501,215	KAMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Elli Peselev	1623	

• , ,	Examine	Aitoille					
	Elli Peselev	1623					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>8/18/2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLC	WANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: 	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be f	iled within two month:	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any externotice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 25-28 and 35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> t or other evidence is	be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	t da a NOT place the application in						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu Applicant contends that D-type crystal prepared via E-ty which could not be achieved by the prior art D-type crystargues that the claimed D-type crystals differ from the D residual solvent. These arguments have not been found solvent present. Further, the claimed compounds are id insufficient to identify a specific compound having a spe	pe crystal ensures a residual solver tal. This argument has not been fou -type crystals disclosed by Miura bo d persuasive. The present claims di entified by 2 or 3 peaks as measure	nt content of 15000 pp und persuasive. Appl oth in particle size and o not state the amour	om or below, icant further I the amount of it of residual				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).							
13. Other:	,						

/Elli Peselev/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1623

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20090819